Subclinical Atrial Fibrillation and the Risk of Stroke
Jeff S. Healey, M.D., Stuart J. Connolly, M.D., Michael R. Gold, M.D., Carsten W. Israel, M.D., Isabelle C. Van Gelder, M.D., Alessandro Capucci, M.D., C.P. Lau, M.D., Eric Fain, M.D., Sean Yang, M.Sc., Christophe Bailleul, M.D., Carlos A. Morillo, M.D., Mark Carlson, M.D., Ellison Themeles, M.Sc., Elizabeth S. Kaufman, M.D., and Stefan H. Hohnloser, M.D., for the ASSERT Investigators*

BACKGROUND
One quarter of strokes are of unknown cause, and subclinical atrial fibrillation may be a common etiologic factor. Pacemakers can detect subclinical episodes of rapid atrial rate, which correlate with electrocardiographically documented atrial fibrillation. We evaluated whether subclinical episodes of rapid atrial rate detected by implanted devices were associated with an increased risk of ischemic stroke in patients who did not have other evidence of atrial fibrillation.

METHODS
We enrolled 2580 patients, 65 years of age or older, with hypertension and no history of atrial fibrillation, in whom a pacemaker or defibrillator had recently been implanted. We monitored the patients for 3 months to detect subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias (episodes of atrial rate >190 beats per minute for more than 6 minutes) and followed them for a mean of 2.5 years for the primary outcome of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism. Patients with pacemakers were randomly assigned to receive or not to receive continuous atrial overdrive pacing.

RESULTS
By 3 months, subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias detected by implanted devices had occurred in 261 patients (10.1%). Subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias were associated with an increased risk of clinical atrial fibrillation (hazard ratio, 5.56; 95% confidence interval [CI], 3.78 to 8.17; P<0.001) and of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism (hazard ratio, 2.49; 95% CI, 1.28 to 4.85; P = 0.007). Of 51 patients who had a primary outcome event, 11 had had subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias detected by 3 months, and none had had clinical atrial fibrillation by 3 months. The population attributable risk of stroke or systemic embolism associated with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias was 13%. Subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias remained predictive of the primary outcome after adjustment for predictors of stroke (hazard ratio, 2.50; 95% CI, 1.28 to 4.89; P = 0.008). Continuous atrial overdrive pacing did not prevent atrial fibrillation.

CONCLUSIONS
Subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias, without clinical atrial fibrillation, occurred frequently in patients with pacemakers and were associated with a significantly increased risk of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism. (Funded by St. Jude Medical; ASSERT ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00256152.)
Atrial fibrillation may be asymptomatic and consequently subclinical.\textsuperscript{1,3} Epidemiologic studies indicate that many patients with atrial fibrillation on screening electrocardiograms had not previously received a diagnosis of atrial fibrillation.\textsuperscript{3} About 15\% of strokes are attributable to documented atrial fibrillation, and 50 to 60\% to documented cerebrovascular disease,\textsuperscript{4-7} but in about 25\% of patients who have ischemic strokes, no etiologic factor is identified.\textsuperscript{4,6,9} Subclinical atrial fibrillation is often suspected to be the cause of stroke in these patients.\textsuperscript{10} However, the prevalence and prognostic value of subclinical atrial fibrillation has been difficult to assess.\textsuperscript{8,9,11,12}

An implanted atrial lead that is in position over the long term, with the analytic software of the modern pacemaker, allows the continuous detection and characterization of individual episodes of rapid atrial rate over long periods.\textsuperscript{12} Studies have indicated that, depending on the programming of the pacemaker, the detection of such episodes of rapid atrial rate correlates well with electrocardiographic documentation of atrial fibrillation.\textsuperscript{12} There are more than 400,000 pacemakers and implantable cardioverter–defibrillators (ICDs) implanted each year in North America.\textsuperscript{13-15} Subclinical episodes of rapid atrial rate are detected in many of these patients,\textsuperscript{16,17} often in the absence of clinical evidence of atrial fibrillation. The rate of stroke is also high among patients who have received a pacemaker, with stroke occurring in 5.8\% of the patients within 4 years after implantation.\textsuperscript{18} However, the relationship between device-detected atrial tachyarrhythmias and stroke is not understood.

The Asymptomatic Atrial Fibrillation and Stroke Evaluation in Pacemaker Patients and the Atrial Fibrillation Reduction Atrial Pacing Trial (ASSERT) was designed to address two objectives. The first was to prospectively evaluate whether subclinical episodes of rapid atrial rate detected by implanted devices are associated with an increased risk of ischemic stroke in patients who do not have other evidence of atrial fibrillation.\textsuperscript{19} The second was to study in a randomized trial the efficacy of continuous atrial overdrive pacing in preventing clinical atrial fibrillation.

**METHODS**

**STUDY OVERSIGHT**

The details of the design of ASSERT have been published previously.\textsuperscript{19} The steering committee (see the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org) designed the study, and the data were collected and analyzed by the Population Health Research Institute (McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada). The sponsor (St. Jude Medical) had nonvoting membership on the steering committee and assisted in the design of the study and in on-site data collection but had no role in the analysis of the data, the preparation of the manuscript, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The first two authors vouch for the completeness and accuracy of the data and the analyses and for the fidelity of the report to the study protocol, which is available at NEJM.org.

**PATIENT POPULATION**

Patients were eligible for inclusion in the study if they were 65 years of age or older, had a history of hypertension requiring medical therapy, and had undergone their first implantation of a St. Jude Medical dual-chamber pacemaker (for sinus-node or atrioventricular-node disease) or ICD (for any indication) in the preceding 8 weeks. Patients were excluded if they had any history of atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter lasting more than 5 minutes or if they required treatment with a vitamin K antagonist for any reason.

**STUDY PROCEDURES**

After providing written informed consent, patients had their pacemaker or ICD programmed according to protocol-specific settings.\textsuperscript{20} The device was programmed so that atrial tachycardia was detected when the heart rate reached 190 beats per minute, electrogram storage was activated, and the atrial fibrillation suppression algorithm was turned off.

At a clinic visit 3 months later, the devices were interrogated in order to classify patients according to whether a subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmia had occurred or had not occurred since the time of enrollment. A subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmia was defined as an episode of rapid atrial rate (190 beats or more per minute), lasting more than 6 minutes, that was detected by the pacemaker or defibrillator.

Also at the 3-month visit, patients with pacemakers (but not patients with ICDs) were randomly assigned to have continuous atrial overdrive pacing programmed as either “on” or “off.” When this feature is turned on, atrial pacing is initiated, with continuous electronic adjustment...
to pace the atrium at a rate slightly higher than the patient’s intrinsic sinus rhythm, as a means of potentially preventing the initiation of atrial fibrillation. Patients were then followed every 6 months to the end of the study.

**STUDY OUTCOMES**

For the portion of the study in which the prognostic value of subclinical atrial fibrillation was evaluated, the primary outcome was ischemic stroke or systemic embolism. Secondary outcomes were vascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke from any cause, and atrial tachyarrhythmias documented by surface electrocardiography. The definitions of the individual outcome events are provided in the Supplementary Appendix. All the available device electrograms that showed subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias, as well as all clinical events, were subject to blinded adjudication by expert committees.

The primary outcome of the randomized trial of continuous atrial overdrive pacing was symptomatic or asymptomatic atrial tachyarrhythmia lasting more than 6 minutes, documented by surface electrocardiographic recording.19 The results of this randomized comparison are presented only briefly in this report, since this report is intended to focus primarily on the findings of the observational study of the prognostic value of subclinical atrial fibrillation.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

On the basis of previously reported data, we estimated that the annual rate of stroke or systemic embolism in patients 65 years of age or older who have hypertension and who have received a pacemaker would be approximately 1%.20,21 We then estimated that with enrollment of 2500 patients, the study would have 90% power to detect an increase in the annual risk of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism from 1% to 2% among patients who have had an episode of rapid atrial rate. For the randomized portion of the study, we also estimated that with 2500 patients enrolled, the study would have 90% power to detect a 25% reduction with continuous atrial overdrive pacing in the rate of development of clinical atrial tachyarrhythmias, from a control rate of 8% per year.

The baseline characteristics of patients with and of patients without a subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmia before the 3-month visit were compared with the use of independent t-tests or Fisher’s exact test. The primary outcome analysis was a comparison between these two groups of the cumulative risk of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism occurring after the 3-month visit. Cumulative hazard curves were modeled with the use of the Kaplan–Meier method and were compared with the use of a log-rank test. Cox proportional-hazards modeling was used to adjust for baseline imbalances with respect to prior or no prior stroke or transient ischemic attacks, presence or absence of diabetes mellitus, presence or absence of heart failure, age, sex, and history or no history of coronary artery disease or peripheral arterial disease.

A prespecified analysis was performed according to the baseline CHADS<sub>2</sub> score of the patients. Scores on the CHADS<sub>2</sub>, an index of the risk of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation, range from 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a greater risk of stroke. An analysis was also performed in which data from patients were censored once clinical atrial fibrillation developed. A time-dependent covariate analysis was performed with the use of data on all atrial tachyarrhythmias that occurred during the study; in this analysis, the detection of a subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmia (of &gt;6 minutes’ duration, &gt;6 hours’ duration, or &gt;24 hours’ duration) triggered a time-dependent variable that remained positive for the remainder of the follow-up period. Data from the randomized evaluation of continuous atrial overdrive pacing were analyzed according to the intention-to-treat principle, with the use of Cox proportional-hazards modeling and log-rank testing.

**RESULTS**

**STUDY PATIENTS**

During the period from December 2004 through September 2009, a total of 2451 patients with a newly implanted pacemaker and 129 patients with a newly implanted ICD were enrolled in 23 countries. Between the time of enrollment and the 3-month visit, at least one atrial tachyarrhythmia was detected by an implanted device in 261 patients (10.1%). During this same period, clinical atrial tachyarrhythmias occurred in 7 patients.

Among patients who had subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias within 3 months after implantation of a device, the median number of episodes of atrial arrhythmia was 2 (interquartile range, 1 to 3). The median atrial rate was 480 beats per minute (interquartile range, 366 to 549), and the median time to detection of the first episode was 35 days (interquartile range, 11 to 66).
The age of the patients and the percentage of patients who had had a prior stroke were similar in the group with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias before the 3-month visit and in the group without a subclinical tachyarrhythmia before that visit (Table 1). The prevalence of sinus nodal disease was higher, and the resting heart rate was lower, among patients with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias than among those without a subclinical tachyarrhythmia. Aspirin was used by 61.3% and 61.7% of the patients in the two groups, respectively, and none of the patients were receiving a vitamin K antagonist at baseline.

**Atrial Tachyarrhythmias during the Follow-up Period**

Patients were subsequently followed for a mean of 2.5 years, during which time 14 patients (0.5%) were lost to follow-up. Over the course of the follow-up period, 194 patients received a vitamin K antagonist, including 47 of the patients who had had subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmia by 3 months (18.0%).

During the follow-up period, subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias occurred in an additional 633 patients (24.5%). Clinical atrial tachyarrhythmias on surface electrocardiograms occurred in 41 of the 261 patients who had had subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias before the 3-month visit (15.7%) and in 71 of the 2319 patients who had not had subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias before the 3-month visit (3.1%) (hazard ratio, 5.56; 95% confidence interval [CI], 3.78 to 8.17; P<0.001) (Table 2 and Fig. 1A).

**Stroke or Systemic Embolism**

During the follow-up period, 11 of the 261 patients (4.2%) in whom subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias had been detected before 3 months had an ischemic stroke or systemic embolism (a rate of 1.69% per year), as compared with 40 of the 2319 patients who had not had subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias before the 3-month visit (1.7%, a rate of 0.69% per year) (hazard ratio, 2.49; 95% CI, 1.28 to 4.85; P=0.007) (Table 2 and Fig. 1B). The risk was virtually unchanged after adjustment for baseline risk factors for stroke (hazard ratio, 2.50; 95% CI, 1.28 to 4.89; P=0.008) and was similar in an analysis in which data from patients were censored once clinical atrial fibrillation developed (hazard ratio, 2.41; 95% CI, 1.21 to 4.83; P=0.01). Of the 51 patients with a stroke or systemic embolism detected by 3 months, and none had had clinical atrial fibrillation by 3 months. The population attributable risk of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism associated with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmia was 13%. There was no association between subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias and any of the other clinical outcomes (Table 2).

In the time-dependent analysis that included all episodes of atrial tachyarrhythmia detected by devices during the follow-up period, episodes lasting longer than 6 minutes, as compared with no episodes, were associated with an increased risk of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism (hazard ratio, 1.76; 95% CI, 0.99 to 3.11; P=0.05). The increase in risk was similar when the occurrence of episodes longer than 6 hours was compared with the occurrence of no episodes (hazard ratio, 2.00; 95% CI, 1.13 to 3.55; P=0.02) and when the occurrence of episodes longer than 24 hours was compared with the occurrence of no episodes (hazard ratio, 1.98; 95% CI, 1.11 to 3.51; P=0.02). When the patients with episodes of device-detected atrial tachyarrhythmia were stratified according to the duration, in quartiles, of the longest episode (≤0.86 hours, 0.87 to 3.63 hours, 3.64 to 17.72 hours, and >17.72 hours), the annual rates of stroke or systemic embolism were 1.23 (95% CI, 0.15 to 4.46), 0 (95% CI, 0 to 2.08), 1.18 (95% CI, 0.14 to 4.28), and 4.89 (95% CI, 1.96 to 10.07), respectively. A similar analysis of the number of episodes of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmia, in quartiles (1, 2, 3 or 4, and >4) yielded annual rates of stroke or systemic embolism of 1.20 (95% CI, 0.25 to 3.50), 2.15 (95% CI, 0.44 to 6.29), 1.89 (95% CI, 0.23 to 6.81), and 1.93 (95% CI, 0.40 to 5.63), respectively.

The relative risk of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism associated with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmia was consistent across increasing levels of baseline risk of stroke, as assessed by the CHADS2 score (Table 3). The absolute rate of stroke increased with increasing CHADS2 score, reaching a rate of 3.78% per year in patients with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias and a CHADS2 score of greater than 2.

**Randomized Evaluation of Continuous Atrial Overdrive Pacing**

We also randomly assigned all patients with pacemakers to receive continuous atrial overdrive pacing or not to receive it; the baseline characteristics of these two groups were well balanced (Table 1). The rate of the development of a clinical atrial
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Tachyarrhythmia was low in both groups, and the intervention did not have a significant effect on this or any other outcome (Table 4). In an analysis of the prognostic value of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias with patients stratified according to randomized study group (continuous atrial overdrive pacing vs. no continuous atrial overdrive pacing), a test of interaction was not significant (P = 0.995).

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Patients.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Device-Detected Subclinical Atrial Tachyarrhythmia</th>
<th>Continuous Atrial Overdrive Pacing†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (N = 261) No (N = 2319) P Value</td>
<td>On (N = 1164) Off (N = 1179)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age — yr</td>
<td>77±7 76±7 0.13</td>
<td>76±7 76±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex — no. (%)</td>
<td>147 (56.3) 1359 (58.6) 0.48</td>
<td>687 (59.0) 658 (58.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure while sitting — mm Hg</td>
<td>137±20 138±19 0.38</td>
<td>139±20 138±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate — beats/min</td>
<td>68±12 70±12 0.001</td>
<td>70±11 69±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body-mass index‡</td>
<td>28±5 27±5 0.43</td>
<td>27±5 27±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors for stroke — no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior stroke</td>
<td>18 (6.9) 168 (7.2) 0.84</td>
<td>80 (6.9) 88 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior transient ischemic attack</td>
<td>13 (5.0) 113 (4.9) 0.94</td>
<td>52 (4.5) 60 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of heart failure</td>
<td>39 (14.9) 335 (14.4) 0.83</td>
<td>142 (12.2) 162 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>59 (22.6) 674 (29.1) 0.03</td>
<td>329 (28.3) 325 (27.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior myocardial infarction</td>
<td>32 (12.3) 427 (18.4) 0.01</td>
<td>175 (15.0) 200 (17.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHADS2 score§</td>
<td>2.2±1.1 2.3±1.0 0.47</td>
<td>2.2±1.0 2.3±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus-node disease, with or without atrioventricular-node disease — no. (%)</td>
<td>130 (49.8) 964 (41.6) 0.01</td>
<td>519 (44.6) 498 (42.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrioventricular-node disease, without sinus-node disease — no. (%)</td>
<td>132 (50.6) 1279 (55.2) 0.16</td>
<td>648 (55.7) 686 (58.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial lead in septal position — no. (%)</td>
<td>101 (38.7) 972 (41.9) 0.32</td>
<td>492 (42.3) 498 (42.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hypertension &gt;10 yr — no. (%)</td>
<td>115 (44.1) 965 (41.6) 0.45</td>
<td>486 (41.8) 505 (42.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular hypertrophy on ECG — no. (%)</td>
<td>6 (2.3) 105 (4.5) 0.09</td>
<td>46 (4.0) 50 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from implantation of pacemaker or ICD to enrollment — days</td>
<td>25±22 29±40 0.04</td>
<td>28±39 29±39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications — no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>160 (61.3) 1430 (61.7) 0.91</td>
<td>721 (61.9) 705 (59.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker</td>
<td>94 (36.0) 849 (36.6) 0.85</td>
<td>398 (34.2) 400 (33.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>113 (43.3) 1112 (48.0) 0.15</td>
<td>544 (46.7) 537 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Plus–minus values are means ±SD. The baseline characteristics of the patients are shown according to whether subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias were or were not detected between enrollment and 3 months and according to whether patients were randomly assigned after the 3-month visit to have continuous atrial overdrive pacing turned on or off. All patients had a history of hypertension requiring treatment, and no patients were receiving vitamin K antagonist therapy. ECG denotes electrocardiogram, and ICD implantable cardioverter–defibrillator.

† Only patients receiving a pacemaker were enrolled in the portion of the trial in which patients were randomly assigned after the 3-month visit to have continuous atrial overdrive pacing turned on or turned off. There were no significant differences between the two randomized treatment groups in any of the baseline characteristics shown (P>0.05 for all comparisons).

‡ The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.

§ The CHADS2 score is used to predict the risk of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation. Scores range from 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a greater risk of stroke; the categories of congestive heart failure, hypertension, diabetes, and an age of 75 years or older are each assigned 1 point, and the category of prior stroke or transient ischemic attack is assigned 2 points.
A table showing the adverse events that occurred during the randomized portion of the trial is provided in the Supplementary Appendix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Outcome</th>
<th>Subclinical Atrial Tachyarrhythmias between Enrollment and 3 Months</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio with Subclinical Atrial Tachyarrhythmias (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present (N = 261)</td>
<td>Absent (N = 2319)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no. of events</td>
<td>%/yr</td>
<td>no. of events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke or systemic embolism*</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic embolism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death from vascular causes</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke, myocardial infarction, or death</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from vascular causes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization for heart failure</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical atrial fibrillation or flutter on surface electrocardiogram</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Five cases of confirmed stroke for which the cause (ischemic or hemorrhagic) was undetermined are included. All five cases occurred in the group of patients who did not have an episode of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmia between enrollment and 6 months.

**DISCUSSION**

A major finding of this study is that among patients 65 years of age or older with a history of hypertension who had undergone implantation of a pacemaker or ICD and were free from clinical atrial fibrillation, there was a substantial incidence of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias. Subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias were detected in one tenth of the patients within 3 months after implantation and were detected at least once during a mean follow-up period of 2.5 years in 34.7% of the patients. Episodes of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias were almost eight times as common as episodes of clinical atrial fibrillation. During the course of the study, clinical atrial fibrillation developed in only 15.7% of the patients with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias, suggesting that there can be a lag between subclinical events and clinical detection. The median time to the detection, by means of continuous device monitoring, of the occurrence of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias within the first 3 months was 36 days, indicating that Holter monitoring even for several days may fail to detect subclinical atrial fibrillation.

The second major finding of the study is that subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias were independently associated with an increase by a factor of 2.5 in the risk of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism and that this risk was independent of other risk factors for stroke and of the presence of clinical atrial fibrillation. The population attributable risk of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism associated with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias before 3 months was 13%, which is similar to the attributable risk of stroke associated with clinical atrial fibrillation reported by the Framingham investigators. The results of our study suggested that the risk of stroke was higher when episodes of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias were of longer duration, but the study was underpowered for this analysis. Our study also did not analyze device-detected events of 6 minutes or less, which occurred frequently and which might be clinically important.

The risk of stroke with a device-detected atrial tachyarrhythmia was modulated by the patient’s risk profile for stroke. When a patient had a CHADS2 score of higher than 2, the risk of is-
chemic stroke or systemic embolism associated with a subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmia was nearly 4% per year. More than half of the patients were receiving aspirin at baseline, and 18% of patients with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias received a vitamin K antagonist during the follow-up period. Both of these treatments could have reduced the risk of stroke and might have

**Figure 1.** The Risk of Clinical Atrial Tachyarrhythmias and of Ischemic Stroke or Systemic Embolism, According to the Presence or Absence of Subclinical Atrial Tachyarrhythmias.

Panel A shows the risk of electrocardiographically documented clinical atrial tachyarrhythmias after the 3-month visit, according to whether subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias were or were not detected between enrollment and the 3-month visit. Panel B shows the risk of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism after the 3-month visit, according to whether subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias were or were not detected between enrollment and the 3-month visit. The insets show the same data on an enlarged y axis.
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Lessened the observed increase in the risk of stroke associated with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias. The net benefit of antithrombotic treatment is well established in patients with clinical atrial fibrillation, but there may not be a similar benefit in patients with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias; therefore, a randomized trial of anticoagulant therapy in patients with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias is desirable.

Two previous studies have reported an increased risk of clinical events with device-detected atrial tachyarrhythmias, but neither study excluded patients with previously diagnosed atrial fibrillation, nor did they adjudicate episodes of device-detected atrial tachyarrhythmias; therefore, a randomized trial of anticoagulant therapy in patients with subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias is desirable.

The prevalence of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias may be higher in patients with pacemakers than in other high-risk patient groups. Sinus-node dysfunction is associated with an increased risk of atrial fibrillation. Furthermore, patients with atrioventricular-node disease may be more likely to be asymptomatic when atrial tachyarrhythmias occur, owing to reduced atrioventricular conduction. Nonetheless, the prevalence of subclinical atrial fibrillation in other elderly populations may be high. In the Cardiovascular Health Study involving randomly selected persons 65 years of age or older, atrial fibrillation was diagnosed by electrocardiography in 2% of the patients; 14% of those patients had no previous diagnosis of atrial fibrillation.

A link between stroke of unknown cause, often called cryptogenic stroke, and subclinical atrial fibrillation has long been suspected. Short-term monitoring studies have shown that subclinical atrial fibrillation is present in some patients who have had a cryptogenic stroke, but long-term continuous monitoring, like that available with a pacemaker, is currently not practical. The data from the present study support the concept that there is a link between subclinical atrial fibrillation and cryptogenic stroke.

The results of this study did not show a benefit of continuous atrial overdrive pacing. However, because the rate of development of clinical atrial fibrillation was low, the study was underpowered for this outcome. Algorithms for continuous atrial overdrive pacing have been evaluated in previous trials, but most of the trials have had small sample sizes, and there have been differences among the trials in the characteristics of the patient populations, the pacing algorithms used, and the atrial lead positions. These trials have not provided convincing evidence of a benefit.

The present data provide modest evidence that this intervention does not prevent clinical atrial fibrillation.

### Table 3. Risk of Ischemic Stroke or Systemic Embolism after the 3-Month Visit, According to Baseline CHADS\textsubscript{2} Score and According to Whether Subclinical Atrial Tachyarrhythmias Were or Were Not Detected between Enrollment and the 3-Month Visit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHADS\textsubscript{2} Score</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Subclinical Atrial Tachyarrhythmias between Enrollment and 3 Months</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio for Ischemic Stroke or Systemic Embolism with Subclinical Atrial Tachyarrhythmias (95% CI)\textsuperscript{*}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no. of</td>
<td>no. of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>patients</td>
<td>events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{*} The P value for trend is 0.35.
In summary, subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias occurred frequently in patients with pacemakers who had a history of hypertension but no prior diagnosis of clinical atrial fibrillation. The subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias often preceded the development of clinical atrial fibrillation. In patients with pacemakers who did not have clinical atrial fibrillation, the occurrence of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias was associated with a significantly increased risk of a subsequent stroke.
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tive anti-HER2 agents as adjuvant therapies may translate into metastatic disease developing in fewer patients. Given the success of the pivotal trials of adjuvant trastuzumab therapy, clinical trials of adjuvant therapies, which are already large and expensive, will by necessity require even more subjects to detect small differences with a new agent. These are challenges that reflect the rewards of successful translational research, challenges we hope to face with other subsets of breast cancer.
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How Much Atrial Fibrillation Is Too Much Atrial Fibrillation?

Gervasio Lamas, M.D.

Modern cardiac pacemakers and defibrillators function as permanently implanted cardiac monitors, detecting atrial and ventricular arrhythmias. Although the principal purpose of collecting this information is to manage the patient’s cardiac rhythm, these data can also be used to detect and study clinically inapparent arrhythmias and their consequences. In this issue of the Journal, Healey and coworkers\(^1\) report the results of a prospective study involving patients in whom a pacemaker or defibrillator had recently been implanted (Asymptomatic Atrial Fibrillation and Stroke Evaluation in Pacemaker Patients and the Atrial Fibrillation Reduction Atrial Pacing Trial [ASSERT]).\(^2\) ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00256152). This study had two purposes: to determine whether asymptomatic episodes of atrial fibrillation were associated with stroke and to determine whether a programmable algorithm to maintain a paced atrium reduced the risk of atrial fibrillation. The programming strategy did not reduce the risk of atrial fibrillation, possibly because atrial pacing may be arrhythmogenic.\(^3\) As a result, the report focuses on the first, and possibly more intriguing, question.

A total of 2580 patients 65 years of age or older with hypertension, in whom a pacemaker (95% of the patients) or defibrillator (5%) had recently been implanted, were enrolled in 23
countries and were followed for an average of 2.5 years. Episodes of subclinical atrial fibrillation had a standard definition: an atrial rate of at least 190 beats per minute for at least 6 minutes had to be recorded by the implanted device. These episodes of high atrial rate were recorded for the initial 3 months of the study, and strokes or peripheral emboli were adjudicated for the entirety of the study. The results resemble those of similar, less well-powered studies. The presence of any event of subclinical atrial fibrillation in the first 3 months of the study more than doubled the annualized risk of stroke or peripheral emboli (hazard ratio, 2.49) and also markedly increased the risk of clinically evident atrial fibrillation or flutter (hazard ratio, 5.56). This robust, prospective, observational study leads the clinician to accept the association as true. Questions remain, however, about cause and effect, as well as about clinical significance.

The hypothesis of causation is easy to understand. It strains logic that a 6-minute episode of atrial fibrillation would cause a cardioembolic stroke. However, patients with brief episodes of atrial fibrillation are likely to have longer ones, and it is these longer episodes that lead to cardioembolic events. To support the hypothesis of a direct link, atrial fibrillation should precede stroke. There should also be a time-threshold effect, whereby a greater burden of atrial fibrillation or longer episodes of atrial fibrillation should confer a greater risk of stroke.

An alternative hypothesis, of course, is that short events of atrial fibrillation are simply a marker of stroke risk — possibly indicating myocardial fibrosis or hypertrophy, mitral valve disease, or other structural heart disease — rather than being the proximate cause of a thrombus in the left atrial appendage. Alternatively, a proinflammatory state, such as that associated with diabetes or the metabolic syndrome, could be associated with both brief episodes of atrial fibrillation and stroke. Thus, a more complete, albeit still hazy, picture emerges: short episodes of atrial fibrillation serendipitously captured by an implanted device increase the risk of stroke, and, perhaps, longer episodes increase the risk of stroke more than do shorter episodes.

The real question, of course, is to define a take-home message for the nonelectrophysiologist, like myself, who has a patient with an implanted pacemaker or defibrillator. But now we reach the limits of the present data. Specifically, until clinical trials targeting the population with short, asymptomatic episodes of high atrial rate are carried out, the current evidence simply does not address the question of whether treatment with warfarin or other anticoagulants is justifiable for the asymptomatic patient who has had a 6-minute episode of atrial fibrillation.

For now, therefore, I will continue to turn to the now-venerable CHADS2 score, consider applying it to patients with asymptomatic episodes of atrial fibrillation lasting for hours, and make a clinical judgment about the need for anticoagulation. I will also wait for definitive studies to be performed in this interesting, at-risk population. Disclosure forms provided by the author are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.
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